arXiv:0909.5115v1 [math-ph] 28 Sep 2009

On quantum waveguide with shrinking potential

A. Bikmetov, R. Gadyl'shin

M. Akmulla Bashkir State Pedagogical University, October Revolution St. 3a, 450000 Ufa, Russia
E-mail: `bikmetovar@yandex.ru, gadylshin@yandex.ru`

Аннотация

We consider the spectrum of a Schrödinger operator in a multidimensional cylinder perturbed by a shrinking potential. We study the phenomenon of a new eigenvalue emerging from the threshold of the essential spectrum and give the sufficient conditions for such eigenvalues to emerge. If such eigenvalues exist, we construct their asymptotic expansions.

MCS numbers: 35J10, 35B20, 35P99.

Introduction

Let Ω be an open connected domain in $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ with an infinitely smooth boundary if $n \geq 3$ and be a finite interval as $n = 2$. We denote $\Pi = \Omega \times (-\infty, \infty)$. By $-\Delta_{\mathcal{D}}$ we indicate the Dirichlet Laplacian in $L^2(\Pi)$ with domain $H_0^2(\Pi)$, where $H_0^2(\Pi)$ is a subset of the functions in $H^2(\Pi)$ vanishing on the boundary of $\partial\Pi$. It is known that its spectrum $\sigma(-\Delta_{\mathcal{D}})$ consists only of its essential part $\sigma_e(-\Delta_{\mathcal{D}}) = [\mu_0, \infty)$, where μ_0 is the minimal eigenvalue of Laplacian $-\Delta_{\mathcal{D}}^{\Omega}$ in $L^2(\Omega)$ with the domain $H_0^2(\Omega)$. By the essential spectrum $\sigma_e(\mathcal{A})$ of an operator $\mathcal{A}$ we mean the set of λ so that there exists a bounded noncompact sequence $u_n \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}$ satisfying the convergence $(\mathcal{A} - \lambda I)u_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

In [DE] they considered the Schrödinger operator

$$H_h = -\Delta_{\mathcal{D}} + hV,$$

in $L^2(\Pi)$ with the domain $H_0^2(\Pi)$, where $0 < h \ll 1$ was a small parameter, and the potential $V(x)$ was supposed to be measurable and bounded in Π, and

$$\left\langle |x||V|\phi_0^2 \right\rangle < \infty.$$

Hereinafter

$$\langle g \rangle := \int\limits_{\Pi} g(x)\mathrm{d}x,$$

and ϕ_0 is a normalized eigenfunction of $-\Delta_{\mathcal{D}}^{\Omega}$ associated with the minimal eigenvalue μ_0 of $-\Delta_{\mathcal{D}}^{\Omega}$. It is known that ϕ_0 can be chosen real-valued. Applying Birman-Schwinger principle, it was shown that for h small enough the operator H_h has the unique isolated eigenvalue $e(h)$ below μ_0 if and only if

$$\left\langle V\phi_0^2\right\rangle < 0, \tag{1}$$

or

$$\left\langle V\phi_0^2\right\rangle = 0. \tag{2}$$

If the inequality (1) or the equality (2) hold true, the asymptotic expansion of $e(h)$ was obtained. In particular, if the inequality (1) holds, it was shown that

$$e(h) = \mu_0 - \frac{h^2}{4}\left\langle V\phi_0^2\right\rangle^2 + O(h^3), \quad h \to 0. \tag{3}$$

The axisymmetric case with a potential depending nonlinearly on a parameter h was studied in [BCE]. It was shown that the next terms of the expansion of the potential with respect to a small parameter have an influence on the necessary and sufficient conditions for an eigenvalue to emerge.

In the present paper we study the perturbation of a quantum waveguide by a potential which depends on the parameter h as follows. As $h \to 0$, its support shrinks to a point, while the values of the potential can increases unboundedly. Employing the results of [G1], [G2], we obtain the sufficient condition for both the presence and absence of an eigenvalue emerging from the threshold of the essential spectrum. In the former case we construct the two-terms asymptotics for the emerging eigenvalues.

1 Main results

Without loss of generality we assume that the domain Π contains the origin.

We study the perturbed Schrödinger operator

$$\mathcal{H}^h = -\Delta_{\mathcal{D}} + h^{-\alpha}\mathcal{V}_h,$$

in $L^2(\Pi)$ with the domain $H_0^2(\Pi)$, where $\alpha < 1$ is a fixed number, $\mathcal{V}_h(x) = \mathcal{V}\left(\frac{x}{h}\right)$, $\mathcal{V}$ is a piecewise continuous bounded in $\mathbb{R}^n$ function with a compact support, which can be complex-valued. By analogy with [BG] on can show that the operator $\mathcal{H}^h$ is closed and $\sigma_e(\mathcal{H}^h) = [\mu_0, \infty)$. Since the function $\mathcal{V}$ is complex-valued, the operator $\mathcal{H}^h$ is non-self-adjoint.

It follows from the definition of the potential, that its support shrinks to a point as $h \to 0$, while the values increasing unboundedly (as $\alpha > 0$). It is clear that it is impossible to reduce by a change of the variables the eigenvalue problem of $\mathcal{H}^h$ to that of H_h.

Denote

$$\begin{aligned} &\beta_n(h) = h\sqrt{|\ln h|}, &&n = 2,\\ &\beta_n(h) = h, &&n \geq 3. \end{aligned}$$

The main result of the paper is following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Let* $\alpha < 1$. *If*

$$\operatorname{Re}\langle\mathcal{V}\rangle > 0,$$

then the operator $\mathcal{H}^h$ *has no eigenvalues converging to* μ_0.

If

$$\operatorname{Re}\langle\mathcal{V}\rangle < 0, \tag{4}$$

then the operator $\mathcal{H}^h$ *has a unique, and, in addition, simple eigenvalue converging to* μ_0. *Moreover, its asymptotics reads as follows*

$$e(h) = \mu_0 - \frac{h^{2(n-\alpha)}}{4}\left(\phi_0^2(0)\langle\mathcal{V}\rangle\right)^2\left(1 + \mathcal{O}(h + h^{-\alpha}\beta_n(h))\right). \tag{5}$$

The formulas (5) is an analogue of the formula (3) and implies that the eigenvalue converging to μ_0 lie outside $\sigma_e(\mathcal{H}^h)$. For the real-valued function $\mathcal{V}$ the condition (4) obviously becomes

$$\langle\mathcal{V}\rangle < 0. \tag{6}$$

The conditions (4) are (6) are analogues of (1).

For the operator $\mathcal{H}^h$ an analogue of critical case (2) is the identity

$$\operatorname{Re}\langle\mathcal{V}\rangle = 0 \tag{7}$$

(which is

$$\langle\mathcal{V}\rangle = 0$$

for real-valued potentials $\mathcal{V}$). However, while the operator H_h has an eigenvalue converging to μ_0 in the critical case (2), it will follow from the proof of Theorem 1.1 that the critical condition (7) is not sufficient for the existence of an eigenvalue of $\mathcal{H}^h$ converging to μ_0 as $\alpha < 0$.

In the concluding section we show that the same situation occurs for the strip in the critical case (1) as $0 \leq \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$ (cf. Remark 3.1).

Remark 1.1. In the proof of Theorem 1.1 we employ substantially the results of [G2]. Moreover, these results allow us to consider not only real-valued potentials $\mathcal{V}$, but also complex-valued ones. This is the reason why we consider complex-valued potentials $\mathcal{V}$. In particular it means that the perturbed operator $\mathcal{H}^h$ is not necessarily self-adjoint.

2 Preliminaries

In [G2] the operator

$$\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon = -\Delta_{\mathcal{D}} + \varepsilon\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon,$$

was considered, where $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$ is a small parameter, and $\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon$ is an arbitrary localized operator of second order (not necessarily symmetric). Namely, $\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon : H^2_{loc}(\Pi) \to L^2(\Pi; Q)$, where Q is a fixed bounded domain lying in Π, and

$$L^2(\Pi; Q) := \{u : u \in L^2(\Pi), \operatorname{supp} u \subseteq \overline{Q}\}.$$

The operator $\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon$ was assumed to be bounded uniformly in ε,

$$\|\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon u\|_{L^2(\Pi)} \leq C_1 \|u\|_{H^2(Q)}, \tag{8}$$

where C_1 is a constant independent of ε. By analogy with [BG] one can check that the operator $\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon$ in $L^2(\Pi)$ with the domain $H^2_0(\Pi)$ is closed and $\sigma_e(\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon) = [\mu_0, \infty)$.

For small complex k we define a linear operator $A(k) : L^2(\Pi; Q) \to H^2_{loc}(\Pi)$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} A(k)g :=& \frac{\phi_0(x')}{2k} \int\limits_{\Pi} \mathrm{e}^{-k|x_n - t_n|} \phi_0(t') g(t)\, \mathrm{d}t \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\phi_j(x')}{2K_j(k)} \int\limits_{\Pi} \mathrm{e}^{-K_j(k)|x_n - t_n|} \phi_j(t') g(t)\, \mathrm{d}t, \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where $x' = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_{n-1})$, $K_j(k) = \sqrt{\mu_j - \mu_0 + k^2}$, and μ_j and ϕ_j are the eigenvalues of $-\Delta^{\Omega}_{\mathcal{D}}$ and the associated eigenfunctions orthonormalized in $L^2(\Omega)$. We note that $A(k) = \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mu_0 - k^2)$ as $\operatorname{Re} k > 0$, where $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{D}}(\lambda)$ is the resolvent of the operator $-\Delta_{\mathcal{D}}$. We denote by I the identity mapping, and by $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathcal{D}}(k) : L^2(\Pi; Q) \to H^2(Q)$ and $T_\varepsilon(k) : L^2(\Pi; Q) \to L^2(\Pi; Q)$ we indicate the operators introduced as follows

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathcal{D}}(k)g := A(k)g - \frac{1}{2k}\left\langle g\phi_0 \right\rangle, \tag{10}$$

$$T_\varepsilon(k)g := \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathcal{D}}(k)g. \tag{11}$$

The following results were obtained in [G2].

Theorem 2.1. *For k small enough the equation*

$$2k + \varepsilon\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon(k) = 0, \tag{12}$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon(k) = \left\langle \phi_0 (I + \varepsilon T_\varepsilon(k))^{-1} \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon \phi_0 \right\rangle,$$

has the unique solution k_ε.

If $\operatorname{Re} k_\varepsilon < 0$, then the operator $\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon$ has no eigenvalues converging to μ_0.

If $\operatorname{Re} k_\varepsilon > 0$, then the operator $\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon$ has an eigenvalue converging to μ_0 which is determined by the identity

$$e_\varepsilon = \mu_0 - k_\varepsilon^2. \tag{13}$$

The definition of the function $\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon(k)$ implies that for any $N \geq 2$ the representation

$$\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon(k) = \langle \phi_0 \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon \phi_0 \rangle + \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} (-1)^j \varepsilon^j \left\langle \phi_0 T_\varepsilon^j(k) \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon \phi_0 \right\rangle + \varepsilon^N \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon,N}(k), \tag{14}$$

holds true, where $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon,N}(k)$ are holomorphic in k functions bounded uniformly in ε.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Before proceeding to the proof of Theorem 1.1 we prove an auxiliary statement. Let Q be a bounded domain with C^2-boundary lying in Π and containing the origin. By hQ we denote h^{-1}-fold contraction of a set Q.

Lemma 3.1. *For any function $u \in H^2(\Pi)$ the inequality*

$$\int\limits_{hQ} |u|^2 \mathrm{d}x \leq C_2 \beta_n^2(h) \|u\|^2_{H^2(Q)}, \tag{15}$$

holds true, where the constant C_2 is independent of h.

Доказательство. Let B be a bounded set in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$, containing the origin. By $H^m_0(B)$ we denote the set of the functions in $H^m(B)$ vanishing on ∂B.

In ([OISh, Ch. 3, §5, Lm. 5.1]) and [OSH] for $n \geqslant 3$ and $n = 2$, respectively, it was shown that for any function $U \in H^1_0(B)$ the inequality

$$\int\limits_{hQ} |U|^2 \mathrm{d}x \leq C_3 \beta_n^2(h) \int\limits_{B} |\nabla U|^2 \mathrm{d}x \tag{16}$$

holds true, where C_3 is a constant depending on the domain B. This inequality is the corollary the Hardy inequality (see [KOl]). We choose B such that $\overline{Q} \subset B$.

It is well-known (see, for instance, [M, Ch. 3, §4, Thm. 1]) that for any function $u \in H^2(Q)$ there exists a continuation $U \in H^2_0(B)$ such that

$$\|U\|_{H^2(B)} \leq C_4 \|u\|_{H^2(Q)}, \tag{17}$$

where the constant C_4 depends only on B and Q.

By (16), (17) for any function $u \in H^2(\Pi)$ we derive the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}\int\limits_{hQ} |u|^2 \mathrm{d}x = \int\limits_{hQ} |U|^2 \mathrm{d}x \leq C_3 \beta_n^2(h) \int\limits_{B} |\nabla U|^2 \mathrm{d}x \leq C_5 \beta_n^2(h) \|U\|^2_{H^2(B)} \\ \leq C_4^2 C_5 \beta_n^2(h) \|u\|^2_{H^2(Q)}.\end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. □

We proceed to the proof of Theorem 1.1. We denote

$$\varepsilon(h) := h^{-\alpha}\beta_n(h). \tag{18}$$

The definition of $\beta_n(h)$ yields that $\varepsilon(h) > 0$ and

$$\varepsilon(h) \underset{h\to 0}{\to} 0.$$

It is also obvious that for $h > 0$ small enough there exists a function $h(\varepsilon)$ inverse to the function $\varepsilon(h)$ such that

$$h(\varepsilon) > 0, \qquad h(\varepsilon) \underset{\varepsilon\to 0}{\to} 0.$$

In view of the definition (18) the perturbing potential of the operator $\mathcal{H}^h$ can be represented as

$$h^{-\alpha}\mathcal{V}_h = \varepsilon(h)\frac{\mathcal{V}_h}{\beta_n(h)}.$$

Let us show that the operator $\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon$ defined as the multiplication by the function $\beta_n^{-1}(h(\varepsilon))\mathcal{V}_{h(\varepsilon)}$

$$\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon u := \frac{\mathcal{V}_{h(\varepsilon)}u}{\beta_n(h(\varepsilon))}, \tag{19}$$

satisfies the estimate (8), if we treat it as the operator from $H^2(Q)$ to $L^2(\Pi; Q)$. In other words,

$$\left\|\frac{\mathcal{V}_h}{\beta_n(h)}u\right\|_{L^2(\Pi)} \leq C_6\|u\|_{H^2(Q)}, \tag{20}$$

where C_6 is a constant independent of h.

Indeed, without loss of generality we can assume that the support of the function $\mathcal{V}$ lies in a bounded domain $Q \subset \Pi$. Then by Lemma 3.1, for each $u \in H^2(\Pi)$ we consequently obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int\limits_{\Pi} |\mathcal{V}_h(x)u(x)|^2\,\mathrm{d}x &= \int\limits_{hQ} \left|\mathcal{V}\left(\frac{x}{h}\right)u(x)\right|^2\mathrm{d}x \leq \max_{x\in\overline{Q}}|\mathcal{V}(x)|\int\limits_{hQ}|u(x)|^2\,\mathrm{d}x\\ &\leq C_7\beta_n(h)\|u\|^2_{H^2(Q)}.\end{aligned}$$

This inequality implies the estimate (20), and therefore the estimate (8) for the operator defined by the identity (19). Hence, for the operator defined by (19) Theorem 2.1 holds true, where the operator $T_\varepsilon(k)$ in (11) is introduced as

$$T_{\varepsilon(h)}(k)g = \beta_n^{-1}(h)\mathcal{V}_h\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathcal{D}}(k)g.$$

Together with (19) and (18) it follows that for any natural N the identity (14) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon(k) =& \beta_n^{-1}(h)\left(\left\langle\phi_0^2\mathcal{V}_h\right\rangle + \sum_{j=1}^{N-1}(-1)^j h^{-j\alpha}\left\langle\phi_0(\mathcal{V}_h\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathcal{D}}(k))^j(\mathcal{V}_h\phi_0)\right\rangle\right)\\ &+ (h^{-\alpha}\beta_n(h))^N\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon,N}(k).\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Denote

$$\Phi_0(\xi') = \sum_{q=1}^{n-1} \frac{\partial \phi_0}{\partial \xi_q}(0)\xi_q.$$

By direct calculations we check that

$$\begin{aligned}\left\langle \phi_0^2 \mathcal{V}_h \right\rangle =& \int\limits_{hQ} \mathcal{V}\left(\frac{x}{h}\right) \phi_0^2(x')\mathrm{d}x = h^n \int\limits_{Q} \mathcal{V}(\xi)\phi_0^2(h\xi')\mathrm{d}\xi \\ =& h^n \phi_0^2(0) \left\langle \mathcal{V} \right\rangle + h^{n+1} 2\phi_0(0) \left\langle \Phi_0 \mathcal{V} \right\rangle + \mathcal{O}(h^{n+2}),\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

$$\|\phi_0 \mathcal{V}_h\|^2_{L^2(\Pi)} = h^n \int\limits_{Q} \mathcal{V}^2(\xi)\phi_0^2(h\xi')\mathrm{d}\xi = \mathcal{O}(h^n). \tag{23}$$

Lemma 3.1 and the definition of the operator $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathcal{D}}(k)$ imply that for each function $g \in L^2(\Pi; Q)$ the estimate

$$\|\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathcal{D}}(k)g\|_{L^2(hQ)} \le C_2\beta_n(h)\|\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathcal{D}}(k)g\|_{H^2(Q)} \le C_2 C_{\mathcal{R}}\beta_n(h)\|g\|_{L^2(\Pi)} \tag{24}$$

holds true.

We denote

$$a_j = \left|\left\langle \phi_0(\mathcal{V}_h \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathcal{D}}(k))^j (\mathcal{V}_h \phi_0) \right\rangle\right|, \qquad C_{\mathcal{V}} = \max_{x \in \overline{G}} |\mathcal{V}(x)|.$$

Then by (24) and (23) for $j \ge 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_j \le& \|\phi_0 \mathcal{V}_h\|_{L^2(hQ)} \left\| \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathcal{D}}(k)(\mathcal{V}_h \widetilde{R}_{\mathcal{D}}(k))^{j-1}(\mathcal{V}_h\phi_0)\right\|_{L^2(hQ)}. \\ \le& C_2 C_{\mathcal{R}}\beta_n(h)\, \|\phi_0 \mathcal{V}_h\|_{L^2(\Pi)} \left\| (\mathcal{V}_h \widetilde{R}_{\mathcal{D}}(k))^{j-1}(\mathcal{V}_h\phi_0)\right\|_{L^2(\Pi)} \\ =& C_2 C_{\mathcal{R}}\beta_n(h)\, \|\phi_0 \mathcal{V}_h\|_{L^2(\Pi)} \left\| (\mathcal{V}_h \widetilde{R}_{\mathcal{D}}(k))^{j-1}(\mathcal{V}_h\phi_0)\right\|_{L^2(hQ)} \\ \le& C_2 C_{\mathcal{R}} C_{\mathcal{V}}\beta_n(h)\, \|\phi_0 \mathcal{V}_h\|_{L^2(\Pi)} \left\| \widetilde{R}_{\mathcal{D}}(k)(\mathcal{V}_h \widetilde{R}_{\mathcal{D}}(k))^{j-2}(\mathcal{V}_h\phi_0)\right\|_{L^2(hQ)} \\ \le& \cdots \\ \le& \left(C_2 C_{\mathcal{R}} C_{\mathcal{V}}\beta_n(h)\right)^{j-1} \|\phi_0 \mathcal{V}_h\|_{L^2(\Pi)} \left\| \widetilde{R}_{\mathcal{D}}(k)(\mathcal{V}_h\phi_0)\right\|_{L^2(hQ)}. \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Together with (23) and (24) it implies that

$$a_j = \mathcal{O}(h^n \beta_n^j(h)). \tag{26}$$

The number N in the estimate (21) is arbitrary that together with (26), (22) and (18) yields

$$\varepsilon \mathcal{F}_\varepsilon(k) = h^{n-\alpha}\left(\phi_0^2(0)\left\langle \mathcal{V} \right\rangle + h 2\phi_0(0)\left\langle \Phi_0 \mathcal{V}\right\rangle + \mathcal{O}\left(h^2 + h^{-\alpha}\beta_n(h)\right)\right).$$

It follows by (12) that

$$k_{\varepsilon(h)} = \frac{1}{2} h^{n-\alpha} \left(\phi_0^2(0) \langle \mathcal{V} \rangle + h 2\phi_0(0) \langle \Phi_0 \mathcal{V} \rangle + \mathcal{O}\left(h^2 + h^{-\alpha}\beta_n(h)\right)\right). \qquad (27)$$

And, finally, the last identity and Theorem 2.1 lead us to Theorem 1.1.

Remark 3.1. Let us consider the critical case (7) for $\alpha < 0$. It follows from the identity (27) that if

$$\phi_0(0) \operatorname{Re} \langle \Phi_0 \mathcal{V} \rangle > 0,$$

then $k_{\varepsilon(h)} < 0$, and therefore by Theorem 2.1 the operator $\mathcal{H}^h$ has no eigenvalues converging to μ_0.

If

$$\phi_0(0) \operatorname{Re} \langle \Phi_0 \mathcal{V} \rangle < 0, \qquad (28)$$

then the identity (27) implies that $k_{\varepsilon(h)} > 0$ and thus by Theorem 2.1 the operator $\mathcal{H}^h$ has a unique, and, in addition, simple eigenvalue converging to μ_0. For the real-valued functions $\mathcal{V}$ the inequality (28) casts into the form

$$\phi_0(0) \langle \Phi_0 \mathcal{V} \rangle < 0,$$

and by the identities (27) and (13) the asymptotics of the eigenvalue reads as follows

$$e(h) = \mu_0 - h^{2(n+1-\alpha)} \left(\phi_0(0) \langle \Phi_0 \mathcal{V} \rangle\right)^2 \left(1 + \mathcal{O}(h + h^{-1-\alpha}\beta_n(h))\right).$$

Critical case in the strip as $0 \leq \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$

Let us consider the case $n = 2$,

$$\mathcal{V}(t) = v(t_1)\widetilde{v}(t_2),$$

where

$$\widetilde{v}(t_2) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{as } |t_2| < 1 \\ 0 & \text{as } |t_2| > 1. \end{cases}$$

In this case the identity (22) becomes

$$\left\langle \phi_0^2 \mathcal{V}_h \right\rangle = 2h^2 \left(\phi_0^2(0) \langle v \rangle' + 2h\phi_0(0)\phi_0'(0) \int\limits_{\Omega} v(t_1) t_1 \mathrm{d}t_1 \right) + \mathcal{O}(h^4), \qquad (29)$$

where

$$\langle g \rangle' := \int\limits_{\Omega} g(t_1) \mathrm{d}t_1,$$

and by (9) and (10) the function $\widetilde{R}_{\mathcal{D}}(k)(\mathcal{V}_h\phi_0)$ reads as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{R}_{\mathcal{D}}(k)(\mathcal{V}_h\phi_0) =&b_0(x_2;k)\phi_0(x_1)\left\langle v_h\phi_0^2\right\rangle' \\ &+\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j(x_2;k)\frac{\phi_j(x_1)}{K_j^2(k)}\left\langle \phi_j v_h\phi_0\right\rangle',\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

where $v_h(x_1)=v(x_1h^{-1})$,

$$\begin{aligned}b_0(x_2;k) =&\frac{1}{k}\left(\frac{1}{k}\left(1-e^{-kh}\cosh(kx_2)\right)-h\right), \\ b_j(x_2;k) =&1-e^{-K_j(k)h}\cosh(K_j(k)x_2), \quad j\geq 1.\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

In the case considered $Q=\Omega\times(-1,1)$, Ω is an interval (ω_-,ω_+), $\pm\omega_\pm>0$. Denote $Q_h:=\Omega\times(-h,h)$. It follows from (30) and (31) that

$$\|\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathcal{D}}(k)(\mathcal{V}_h\phi_0)\|_{L^2(Q_h)}^2\leq Ch\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{|\left\langle \phi_j v_h\phi_0\right\rangle'|^2}{\mu_j}. \tag{32}$$

It is well-known that for the solution to the boundary value problem

$$-\frac{\mathrm{d}^2U}{\mathrm{d}x_1^2}=v_h\phi_0, \quad x_1\in\Omega, \qquad U(\omega_\pm)=0 \tag{33}$$

the identity

$$\|U\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{|\left\langle \phi_j v_h\phi_0\right\rangle'|^2}{\mu_j}$$

holds true. Hence, by (32) and the inclusion $hQ\subset Q_h$

$$\|\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathcal{D}}(k)(\mathcal{V}_h\phi_0)\|_{L^2(hQ)}^2\leq Ch\|U\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2. \tag{34}$$

We represent the solution to (33) as

$$U=U_0+U_1+\widetilde{U},$$

where U_j and $\widetilde{U}$ solve the problems

$$-\frac{\mathrm{d}^2U_0}{\mathrm{d}x_1^2}=v_h\phi_0(0), \quad x_1\in\Omega, \qquad U_0(\omega_\pm)=0, \tag{35}$$

$$-\frac{\mathrm{d}^2U_1}{\mathrm{d}x_1^2}=v_h\phi_0'(0)x_1, \quad x_1\in\Omega, \qquad U_1(\omega_\pm)=0, \tag{36}$$

$$\begin{aligned}-\frac{\mathrm{d}^2\widetilde{U}}{\mathrm{d}x_1^2}=&\widetilde{f}, \quad x_1\in\Omega, \qquad \widetilde{U}(\omega_\pm)=0, \\ \widetilde{f}(x_1)=&v_h(x_1)\left(\phi_0(x_1)-\phi_0(0)-\phi_0'(0)x_1\right).\end{aligned}$$

By direct calculations we check that

$$\|\widetilde{f}\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} = \mathcal{O}(h^5).$$

Therefore,

$$\|\widetilde{U}\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} = \mathcal{O}(h^5). \tag{37}$$

The solution to (36) can be found explicitly,

$$U_1(x_1) = -h^3\phi_0'(0)\int\limits_{-\infty}^{\frac{x_1}{h}}\int\limits_{-\infty}^{\xi} v(\eta)\eta\,\mathrm{d}\eta\,\mathrm{d}\xi + h^2((c_1+c_1'h)x_1 + (d_1+d_1'h)),$$

where c_1, c_1', d_1, and d_1' are explicitly calculated constants. Hence,

$$\|U_1\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} = \mathcal{O}(h^4). \tag{38}$$

We choose v so that

$$\langle v\rangle' = 0. \tag{39}$$

In this case the identity (1) holds true and also the solution to the boundary value problem (35) is as follows

$$U_0(x_1) = -h^2\phi_0(0)\int\limits_{-\infty}^{\frac{x_1}{h}}\int\limits_{-\infty}^{\xi} v(\eta)\,\mathrm{d}\eta\,\mathrm{d}\xi + h^2(c_0x_1 + d_0),$$

where c_0, and d_0 can be also found explicitly. Therefore,

$$\|U_0\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} = \mathcal{O}(h^4). \tag{40}$$

It follows from (37)–(40) and (34) that

$$\|\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathcal{D}}(k)(\mathcal{V}_h\phi_0)\|^2_{L^2(hQ)} = \mathcal{O}(h^5).$$

This identity, (23), and (25) imply

$$a_j = \mathcal{O}\left(\beta_2^{j-1}(h)h^{\frac{7}{2}}\right). \tag{41}$$

The number N in the estimate (21) is arbitrary that together with (41), (29), (1), (18) and (39) yields

$$\varepsilon\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon(k) = h^{3-\alpha}\left(4\phi_0(0)\phi_0'(0)\int\limits_{\Omega} v(t_1)t_1\mathrm{d}t_1 + \mathcal{O}\left(h^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha}\right)\right)$$

for $n = 2$. It follows by (12) that

$$k_{\varepsilon(h)} = h^{3-\alpha}\left(2\phi_0(0)\phi_0'(0)\int\limits_{\Omega} v(t_1)t_1\mathrm{d}t_1 + \mathcal{O}\left(h^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha}\right)\right).$$

Let $|\omega_-| \neq \omega_+$. Then

$$\phi_0(0)\phi_0'(0) \neq 0.$$

Obviously, there exists a compactly supported function $\psi(x_1)$ such that

$$\langle\psi\rangle' = 0, \qquad \int\limits_{\Omega} \psi(t_1)t_1\mathrm{d}t_1 \neq 0.$$

Then letting $v = \psi$ and $v = -\psi$ we obtain that the quantity $k_{\varepsilon(h)}$ has different signs in these cases. Then by Theorem 2.1 in one case the eigenvalue exists, while in the other does not.

Acknowledgments

We thank D. Borisov for useful remarks.

The research was supported by Russian Fund of Basic Research under the contract 08-01-97016-r_povolzhie, by the grant of the President of Russia for young scientist and their supervisors, and by the grant of the President of Russia for leading scientific schools (NSh-2215.2008.1).

Список литературы

[DE] P. Duclos, P. Exner. Cuvature-induced bound states in quantum waveguides in two and tree dimentions // Rev. Math. Phys. 1995. V. 7. No. 1. P. 73–102.

[BCE] F. Bentosela, R.M. Cavalcanti, P.Exner, V.A. Zagrebnov. Anomalous electron trapping by localazed magnetic fields // J. Phys. A. 1999. V. 32. P. 3029–3039.

[G1] R.R. Gadyl'shin. On regular and singular perturbations of acoustic and quantum waveguides // Comptes Rendus Mecanique. 2004. V. 332. No. 8. P. 647–652.

[G2] R.R. Gadyl'shin. Local perturbations of quantum waveguides // Theor. Math. Phys. 2005. V. 145. No. 3. P. 359–372.

[BG] D.I. Borisov, R.R. Gadyl'shin. On the spectrum of a periodic operator with a small localized perturbation // Izvestiya: Mathematics. 2008. V. 72. No. 4. P. 659–688.

[KOl] V.A. Kondrat'ev, O.A. Oleinik. Boundary Value Problems for the System of Elasticity Theory in Unbounded Domains: Korn Inequalities // Russian Mathematical Surveys. 1988. V. 43. No. 5. P. 65–119.

[M] V.P. Mikhailov. Partial differential equations. Moscow: Mir Publishers, 1978.

[OISh] O.A. Oleinik, A.S. Shamaev and G.A. Iosif'yan. Mathematical Problems in Elasticity and Homogenization. Amsterdam: North-Holland, 1992.

[OSH] O. A. Oleinik, J. Sanchez-Hubert, G. A. Yosifian. On vibrations of a membrane with concentrated masses // Bull. Sc. math. Ser. 2. 1991. V. 115. P. 1–27.